

11th November 2022

US equities: equal weight proved defensive

- Concentration risk is a choice, not an obligation
- An equal weight approach reduces stock and sector biases
- This has proved defensive in 2022 market stress

Understanding index design

The most common type of equity index has its weights based on the market capitalization of each company within the index. Both the S&P 500 and the FTSE 100 are market-cap-weighted indices. This skews performance towards their largest constituents.

But there are also equally weighted versions of the same indices. So for the S&P500, each company is weighted at 1/500th (0.2%). This entirely removes the "size bias" that cap-weighted indices create. This skews performance away from the largest constituents.

Critics of passive investing are actually critics of market cap weighting, not of indexes, which can be weighted in all sorts of ways. Their argument goes that investors' funds blindly follow the weights of companies in an index in such a way that "the big get bigger" into a self-fulfilling loop.

The reality is that the largest weighted companies are those with 1) highest earnings and 2) highest valuation multiples investors pay for those earnings. Companies drive indices, not the other way round.

But what is true that if and when indices become concentrated, one sector can materially dominate the performance of the overall index.

Concentration risk

In the 1980s, the S&P 500 was dominated by Big Oil. In the 2020s – by Big Tech. For investors concerned about the tech sector and tech valuations, traditional passive funds were overly exposed. But accepting concentration risk is a choice, not an obligation.

In 2021, we set out the case for an equal weight approach to provide useful diversification for those wanting to invest in the US equity market yet requiring a more defensive position with lower exposure to tech and reduced concentration risk.

This defensive approach has proved effective in 2022 performance.



Fig.1. S&P500 vs S&P500 Equal Weight Performance YTD



S&P 500 vs S&P 500 Equal Weight

Source: Elston research, Bloomberg data, net total returns in GBP terms

What are the options?

- For the traditional passive approach with higher concentration risk there are no shortage of ETFs available. The largest is iShares Core S&P 500 UCITS ETF (LSE:CSP1). The largest holding is Apple (7%) and tech makes up 26.3% of the index. The fund is physical and costs 0.07% TER.
- the Xtrackers S&P 500 Equal Weight UCITS ETF 1C (LSE:XDWE). There is no largest holding – everything has an equal weight of 0.20%! Tech makes up 14.8% of the index. The fund is physical and costs 0.25% TER.

Using an equal weight index means an equal allocation individual stocks, the allocation to specific sectors is based on the number of companies in that sector, not their size.

An equal weight approach eliminates idiosyncratic, company-specific risk and is the ultimate "deconcentration" strategy.

Henry Cobbe, CFA

Head of Research, Elston Consulting

For all our Research & Insights, visit:

https://www.elstonsolutions.co.uk/insights





Find out more

For more insights and information on research, portfolios and indices, visit:

www.elstonsolutions.co.uk or NH ETF<Go>

www.elstonsolutions.co.uk

ABOUT ELSTON

We research, design and build investment solutions with and for asset owners, managers and advisers.

Our Research & CPD focuses on multi-asset strategies, index funds and ETFs.

NOTICES

With reference to the European Union Directive 2014/65/EU on markets in financial instruments ("MiFID II"): this Report does not provide a recommendation for an action, provides information freely available for public consumption and does not therefore constitute "Research" as defined by MiFID II. This is because this report contains purely factual information on one or several financial instruments or issuers and does not explicitly or implicitly recommend or suggest an investment strategy. It does not therefore constitute an investment recommendation as defined within the meaning of Article 3(1)(35) of EU Markets Abuse Regulation ("MAR"), in conjunction with Article 3(1)(34) of MAR. For further information, please refer to ESMA guidance ESMA70-145-111 Version 12.

With reference to the European Union's Market Abuse Regulation (Regulation (EU) 596/2014): we warrant that the information in this report is presented objectively, and the following commercial interests are hereby disclosed: Elston Consulting Limited creates research portfolios and administers indices that may or may not be referenced in this report. If referenced, this is clearly designated and is to raise awareness and provide purely factual information as regards these portfolios and/or indices.

An "Index" is a Regulated Benchmark. An "Index Portfolio" is not a regulated benchmark but a research portfolio of indextracking investments with a periodic rebalancing scheme.

All company, product and service names and trademarks used in this article are for identification purposes only and are the property of their respective owners, and their usage does not imply endorsement.

This document is not an advertisement or financial promotion. It is provided for informational purposes only and is not intended to be an offer or solicitation, or the basis for any contract to purchase or sell any security or other instrument, or for Elston Consulting Limited to enter into or arrange any type of transaction as a consequence of any information contained herein.

This document is issued by Elston Consulting Limited registered in England & Wales, registration number 07125478, registered office: 1 King William Street, London EC4N 7AF.

 $\ensuremath{\mathbb{C}}$ Elston Consulting Limited. All rights reserved No unauthorised reproduction.